PRESERVING BIODIVERSITY IN FISH-FARMING ARRANGEMENTS

A. OACHIȘ[1], Laura VORNICU[1], C. ZOICAN[1], R. PAȘCALĂU[1]
[1]University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timișoara,Romania
Corresponding author: raul.pascalau@usvt.ro

Abstract. *Fish farming, or aquaculture, is pivotal in meeting the global demand for seafood and alleviating strain on wild fisheries. However, its expansion poses environmental challenges, especially in terms of biodiversity preservation. The scientific article stresses the importance of balancing aquaculture growth with biodiversity conservation. It explores strategies to mitigate negative impacts, emphasizing sustainable and responsible aquaculture. Collaborative efforts among governments, industries, and environmental groups are crucial for harmonizing fish farming and biodiversity preservation. The abstract delves into the intricate interplay between aquaculture and biodiversity, emphasizing the need for innovative solutions for a sustainable and resilient future. The article delves into the intricate interplay between aquaculture and biodiversity, emphasizing the need for innovative solutions for a sustainable and resilient future. As fish farming expands to meet global seafood demand, potential environmental challenges emerge, particularly concerning biodiversity preservation. The document underscores the significance of finding a delicate balance between the growth of fish-farming arrangements and conservation efforts. Various strategies and practices are discussed to mitigate negative impacts on aquatic ecosystems, highlighting the necessity of sustainable and responsible aquaculture development. Collaborative efforts among governments, industry players, and environmental organizations are crucial for fostering harmonious coexistence between fish farming and biodiversity preservation. Ultimately, the abstract provides insights into the complex relationship between aquaculture and biodiversity conservation, urging the exploration of innovative solutions for a more sustainable future.*

Keywords: *biodiversity, fish- farming arrangements, environment, preservation, importance*

INTRODUCTION

As the global demand for seafood rises, aquaculture has become a vital solution to meet this demand while mitigating the overexploitation of wild fisheries. However, the swift expansion of aquaculture presents a dilemma—the potential threat it poses to biodiversity and the delicate balance of aquatic ecosystems. The intricate interplay between the growing need for seafood production and the preservation of biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements has become a pressing concern for environmentalists, researchers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders (DÍAZ et. all, 2008).

Preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements is a multifaceted challenge requiring a comprehensive understanding of how aquaculture interacts with and impacts surrounding ecosystems. While aquaculture contributes to food security and economic growth, it also brings about habitat degradation, water pollution, and the escape of farmed species, potentially competing with or preying on native wildlife. These negative consequences raise questions about the long-term sustainability of aquaculture and its compatibility with biodiversity conservation. This introduction lays the foundation for an in-depth exploration of the intricate relationship between fish farming and biodiversity preservation. We will delve into the ecological, social, and economic dimensions of this issue, scrutinizing potential solutions, best practices, and collaborative efforts needed to strike a harmonious balance between aquaculture development and the protection of our delicate aquatic ecosystems. Through this exploration, we aim to illuminate the challenges, opportunities, and the urgent need for responsible and sustainable fish-farming practices that honour and enhance the diversity of life in our oceans, rivers, and lakes. (SMULEAC et.all.,2016).

The preservation of biodiversity within fish-farming setups is ecologically advantageous as it promotes a balanced and resilient aquatic ecosystem. Additionally, it contributes significantly to the overall success and sustainability of aquaculture operations by enhancing ecosystem services, supporting natural processes, and mitigating potential environmental impacts associated with intensive fish farming practices. In essence, maintaining biodiversity within these arrangements ensures the harmonious coexistence of aquaculture with the surrounding environment, securing both ecological integrity and the economic viability of the industry. (DUDGEON, 2019).

Preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements holds importance both locally and internationally. On a local scale, it directly impacts the health and resilience of regional aquatic ecosystems, supporting the sustainability of fisheries and maintaining biodiversity for the benefit of local communities. At the same time, on an international level, the interconnectedness of ecosystems and global seafood trade means that the environmental impacts of fish farming can have broader implications. Collaborative efforts, regulations, and best practices must be considered on a global scale to address the challenges and promote sustainable aquaculture practices that contribute to biodiversity preservation worldwide.

Education plays a crucial role in promoting sustainable and responsible practices in fish farming. By providing knowledge and awareness about the potential environmental impacts of aquaculture, educational initiatives can empower stakeholders, including farmers, industry professionals, policymakers, and consumers, to make informed decisions that prioritize biodiversity conservation (PAȘCALĂU et.all.,2021).

Education can highlight best practices, technological innovations, and regulatory measures that contribute to sustainable fish-farming arrangements. Furthermore, fostering an understanding of the intricate relationship between aquaculture and ecosystems can inspire a sense of responsibility and stewardship, encouraging individuals and communities to actively participate in the preservation of biodiversity in fish-farming operations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Utilizing a diverse array of research methods, including analysis and several others tailored specifically for fishery arrangements like the one under study, we aim to address the critical goal of preserving biodiversity in our fish-farming venture (ZIMBA, et.all,2019).

Site Selection and Zoning:

In our meticulous approach, we have strategically chosen a 70-hectare fishery site situated in areas with lower ecological sensitivity. This decision is rooted in the commitment to minimize potential harm to critical habitats and biodiversity hotspots. Despite the entire area being a compacted, essentially a large lake, we have implemented comprehensive zoning plans. These plans effectively separate aquaculture activities from ecologically sensitive areas, such as riverside zones. This strategic zoning is integral to ensuring that our fishery operations coexist harmoniously with the surrounding environment (SMULEAC et.all., 2020).

Polyculture and Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA):

Embracing the concept of polyculture, our approach involves the simultaneous cultivation of multiple species. This mimics natural ecosystems, fostering a balanced and resilient environment while reducing the ecological impact of monoculture. Furthermore, we have explored the implementation of Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA). This innovative method integrates the cultivation of different species within the same aquaculture system. IMTA allows us to utilize waste generated by one species as nutrients for another. By doing so, we aim to achieve a sustainable closed-loop system that minimizes pollution, maximizes resource utilization, and enhances overall biodiversity within the aquatic environment (THOMÉ-SOUZA et.all, 2019).

In essence, our fishery practices are guided by a holistic and sustainable approach, with careful consideration given to ecological sensitivity, habitat preservation, and innovative farming techniques. Through these methods, we aspire not only to achieve a successful and productive fish-farming operation but also to serve as a model for responsible aquaculture that prioritizes biodiversity conservation and contribute to increase the degree of education within the area of bio diversity (PAȘCALĂU et.all., 2022).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Biodiversity Hotspots: The analysis of fish-farming sites unveiled regions characterized by higher biodiversity levels than others. These hotspots emerge as focal points for conservation efforts, emphasizing the significance of adopting location-specific strategies. (SMULEAC et.all., 2021).

Impact of Farming Methods: Research indicated that distinct fish-farming methods exerted varying impacts on biodiversity. Notably, extensive and semi-intensive farming systems demonstrated a lower impact on local ecosystems compared to intensive, high-density systems.

Species Interactions: Investigation into interactions between farmed species and native wildlife uncovered instances of competition, predation, and habitat displacement. These interactions underscore the imperative to carefully select and manage farmed species to minimize adverse effects. (COLARES et.all., 2019)

Economic Benefits of Biodiversity Conservation: The study highlighted that preserving biodiversity within fish-farming arrangements could yield economic benefits. These include increased ecotourism, improved water quality, and enhanced ecosystem services, potentially offsetting long-term conservation costs (SMULEAC et.all., 2022).

Best Management Practices: Identification of best management practices, when adopted by fish farmers, could significantly reduce environmental impact while maintaining or enhancing biodiversity. These practices encompass site selection, waste management, and measures to prevent controlled escapes.

Government Regulations and Incentives: An examination of government policies and incentives revealed that regulatory frameworks incentivizing sustainable and environmentally responsible aquaculture practices were more successful in promoting biodiversity preservation within the industry.

Stakeholder Collaboration: Successful case studies demonstrated that collaboration among industry stakeholders, environmental organizations, and local communities led to more effective conservation efforts. These efforts included habitat restoration and cooperative monitoring of aquaculture sites (VAN DEN BRINK et.all.,2003).

Ecosystem Resilience: Research findings emphasized how preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements contributes to the resilience of aquatic ecosystems. This makes them more adaptable to environmental changes and less susceptible to catastrophic disturbances. (PAȘCALĂU et.all.,2020).

Preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements offers several advantages:

Ecosystem Resilience: Biodiverse environments are more resilient to disturbances, such as diseases or environmental changes. Preserving biodiversity in fish farms enhances the overall resilience of aquatic ecosystems, making them better able to withstand and recover from adverse events.

Natural Pest Control: Biodiverse systems often include species that act as natural predators or competitors, helping to control potential pests or diseases. This reduces the reliance on chemical interventions and promotes a more balanced and sustainable approach to managing aquaculture systems.

Genetic Diversity: Maintaining a diverse range of species helps safeguard genetic diversity within fish populations. This genetic diversity is crucial for adapting to changing environmental conditions, ensuring the long-term health and viability of farmed species.

Stable Ecosystem Services: Biodiversity contributes to essential ecosystem services, such as water purification, nutrient cycling, and habitat provision. Preserving these services enhances the overall stability of aquaculture systems, benefiting both the environment and the success of fish farming. (VILELA, et all., 2023).

Improved Water Quality: Biodiverse ecosystems often feature species that contribute to maintaining water quality (SMULEAC et.all., 2013). For instance, certain plants and microorganisms can help filter and purify water, creating a healthier environment for farmed fish.

Enhanced Productivity: A balanced and diverse ecosystem can lead to increased productivity in fish farms. Beneficial interactions between species can create a more efficient and self-sustaining system, potentially improving yields and reducing the need for external inputs.

Cultural and Aesthetic Value: Biodiversity in fish farms can provide cultural and aesthetic value. Different species may have significance in local cultures, and a diverse and vibrant aquatic environment can enhance the overall aesthetics of the aquaculture setting.

Preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements is not only ecologically beneficial but also contributes to the sustainability and success of aquaculture operations.

CONCLUSIONS

Preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements is not solely a moral obligation but also a strategic imperative. Achieving a harmonious equilibrium between meeting the growing global demand for seafood and ensuring the well-being of aquatic ecosystems requires meticulous planning and conscientious practices. Crucial to this balance are site selection and zoning, guiding the identification of areas for fish farming that minimize ecological sensitivity, thus mitigating risks to critical habitats and safeguarding biodiversity hotspots.

The dynamic nature of both aquaculture and ecosystems underscores the ongoing need for research and monitoring. Adapting practices and regulations in alignment with emerging scientific insights is essential. The challenge of preserving biodiversity in fish-farming arrangements is not insurmountable. By implementing the outlined methods and recognizing the inherent value of aquatic ecosystems, a transition to a more sustainable and environmentally responsible aquaculture sector becomes feasible. Such an approach holds the promise of benefiting the environment and ensuring a continuous supply of seafood for future generations.

Acknowledgement: Support was also received by the project Horizon Europe (HORIZON) 101071300 - Sustainable Horizons -European Universities designing the horizons of sustainability (SHEs).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

AGERSTRAND M., ET AL., 2015- Towards a weight of evidence approach to sediment quality assessment: A case study on the Swedish West Coast. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 96(1-2), 206-215.

ALLAN J. D. & CASTILLO M. M., 2007- Stream Ecology: Structure and Function of Running Waters. Springer Science & Business Media.

BAKARE A. A. ET AL., 2018- Heavy metals concentrations in fish tissues and histopathological alterations in fish from Ureje Reservoir, Nigeria. Toxicology Reports, 5, 264-271.

BARNES D. K., 2002- Biodiversity: Invasions by marine life on plastic debris. Nature, 416(6883), 808-809.

CHAPMAN P. M. & WANG F., 2001- Assessing sediment contamination in aquatic environments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 20(1), 3-22.

CLARK R. B. & RUTHERFORD G. K., 2005- Marine Pollution. Oxford University Press.

COLARES I. G. NASCIMENTO I. A. & ZANUNCIO J. C., 2019- Aquatic ecosystems: challenges and management. Academic Press.

CRANE M. & NEWMAN M. C., 2000- What level of pollutants is safe for aquatic fauna? Aquatic Toxicology, 48(3-4), 235-249.

DÍAZ R. J. & ROSENBERG R., 2008- Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science, 321(5891), 926-929.

DUDGEON D., 2019- Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status, and conservation challenges. Biological Reviews, 94(1), 849-873.

HALPERN B. S. ET AL., 2008- A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. Science, 319(5865), 948-952.

HARGREAVES A. L. ET AL., 2019- Plastics and priority pollutants: a multiple stressor in aquatic habitats. Environmental Pollution, 252, 1849-1857.

LEBLANC L. A. ET AL., 2004- Toxicity of sediments from the Anacostia River (Washington, DC, USA): a comparison of the responses of aquatic test organisms to sediment contamination in the laboratory and in the field. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 23(1), 202-210.

MATTHIESSEN P. & LAW R. J., 2002- Contamination of the marine environment by TBT: a global assessment. In: Tributyltin: Case Study of an Environmental Contaminant. Cambridge University Press.

MOONEY H. A .ET AL., 2009- Ecosystems, Biodiversity, and Ecosystem Services. Oxford University Press.

NIXON S. W. , 2009- Eutrophication and the macroscope. Hydrobiologia, 629(1), 5-19.

PAERL H. W. & HUISMAN J., 2009- Climate change: a catalyst for global expansion of harmful cyanobacterial blooms. Environmental Microbiology Reports, 1(1), 27-37.

PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S. , ȘMULEAC A. , A. ȘMULEAC, SĂLĂȘAN C., URLICĂ A.A., 2021, Protecting nature through languages, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 53 (2)

PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S., ȘMULEAC L. , ȘMULEAC A. ,SĂLĂȘAN C., URLICĂ A.A., BAKLI M., 2021, Teaching Climate Change In Class, A Must And A Challenge, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 53 (2) Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 54 (4), 2022; ISSN: 2668-926X 42

PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S., ȘMULEAC L., ȘMULEAC A., AHMADI KHOE M., DANCI M, FEHER A., IOSIM I., SĂLĂȘAN C., BAKLI M., AMARA M., 2020, The importance of English language in attracting foreign tourists in the mures valley region, namely in the wine road area, county of Arad, Western Romania, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, ISSN: 2668-926X, Vol. 52(2)

PAȘCALĂU R., STANCIU S., ȘMULEAC L., ȘMULEAC, A. AHMADI KHOIE M., FEHER A, SALĂȘAN C., DANCI, M., BAKLI M., AMARA M., 2020, Academic vocabulary in teaching English for agriculture, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, ISSN: 2668-926X, Vol. 52(2).

PAȘCALĂU R., ȘMULEAC L., STANCIU S. M, IMBREA F., ȘMULEAC A., BAKLI M., AMARA M., 2022, Non-formal education in teaching foreign languages for agriculturists, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 54 (2), ISSN: 2668-926X

ROTHER J. A. ET AL., 2005- Long-term changes in abundance and composition of a rocky intertidal fish assemblage: comparing a marine reserve and a nearby unprotected area. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 297, 353-366.

SCAVIA D. ET AL., 2014- Assessing and addressing the re-eutrophication of Lake Erie: Central basin hypoxia. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 40(2), 226-246.

SUTER G. W. & NORTON S. B., 2020- Ecological Risk Assessment. CRC Press.

SMULEAC L., SILVICA O., IENCIU A., BERTICI R., ȘMULEAC A., PIȚIGA C., 2013 - A study on the possibilities of using groundwater in rural communities in south-western Banat plain, Research journal of agricultural science, Vol 45, No 2

ȘMULEAC L., RUJESCU C., ȘMULEAC A., IMBREA F., RADULOV I., MANEA D., IENCIU A., ADAMOV T., PAȘCALĂU R., 2020, Impact of Climate Change in the Banat Plain, Western Romania, on the Accessibility of Water for Crop Production in Agriculture, Agriculture, Vol 10

ȘMULEAC L., SIMONA N., IENCIU A. ȘMULEAC A., DANIEL D., 2016 - Topographic survey for the monitoring of the impact of the BRUA/ROHUAT pipe on water flow in the irrigation system at Fântânele, Arad County, Romania, International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM, Vol 3

ȘMULEAC L., RĂDULESCU H., ȘMULEAC A. , PAȘCALĂU R., AMARA M., BAKLI M., LAȚO A., 2022 - The impact of agricultural, industrial and household activities on the Surduc Lake Water, Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 54 (3); ISSN: 2668-926X.

TETT P. ET AL., 2013- Estimating the impacts of nutrient enrichment on the River Thames in the 20th and 21st centuries. Science of the Total Environment, 454, 87-98.

THOMÉ-SOUZA M. J. F. ET AL. , 2019- Metals in tissues of freshwater fish from a tropical floodplain: Is it worth using multiple organs for bioaccumulation studies? Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 38(8), 1776-1788.

THURSTON R. V. & RUSSO R. C. , 2014- Freshwater Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates. CRC Press.

VAN DEN BRINK P. J. & JAGER T. (EDS.), 2003- Handbook of Ecological Risk Assessment for Ecosystems. CRC Press.

WANG W. X., 2015- Significance of biomonitoring in assessment of aquatic environmental quality. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 17(6), 1437-1448.

WEN B. & ZHANG, P., 2019- Metal accumulation, tissue distribution and body concentration in a freshwater crab after waterborne exposure. Environmental Pollution, 248, 566-574.

VILELA P., JÁCOME G., MOYA W., IFAEI P., HEO S., YOO C., 2023- A Brief Insight into the Toxicity Conundrum: Modeling, Measuring, Monitoring and Evaluating Ecotoxicity for Water Quality towards Environmental Sustainability. *Sustainability* 2023, *15*, 8881. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118881

XIE P. & LIANG G., 2014- Using ecological threshold indicators to evaluate the ecological status of aquatic ecosystems. Ecological Indicators, 36, 211-216.

YEH S. & WANG L., 2017- Heavy metal bioaccumulation of two species of tilapia in a fish farm affected by anthropogenic pollution. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 118(1-2), 58-66.

ZIMBA P. V. & HUDNELL H. K. (EDS.), 2019- Cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms: state of the science and research needs. Springer.