# EVOLUTION OF SOME COMPONENTS OF LAND PRODUCTIVITY FROM BANAT PLAIN IN 2011-2012 AGRICULTURAL YEAR

Adrian TĂRĂU, Valeriu TABĂRĂ, Daniel DICU

Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Timisoara, No. 119, Calea Aradului str., Timisoara, Romania Corresponding author: tanicolae@yahoo.com

Abstract: Research goal is to accumulate scientific data on development of components of agricultural land productivity, necessary to support of an methodology of their quality evaluation through a complex firm approach to physical and geographical conditions from Banat Plain. Research on ecometrical function of the main physical and chemical characteristics of soil have been taken by many scientists, in the country and abroad, since the beginning of last century and the German classification system was designed mainly based on soil texture in the so-called phase of its evolution (D. Teaci, 1980). As part of the soil, clay plays a role in the relations established between certain physical and chemical properties of soil and between them and the activities of organisms that inhabit it. The physical and chemical properties of soil samples (texture, pH, content of humus and NPK etc.), were analyzed in USAMVB-OSPA Timisoara Research Laboratory, after national norms and standards approved by the Standards

Association from Romania (ASRO). Knowledge of these features of the soil are of special theoretical and practical importance. Theoretical, it provides to specialist the possibility to interpret the phenomena that occur in soil and to predict soil evolution in particular and the environment in general, in terms of present and future health, and practical because warns the physician as what measures should be taken to bring the soil in optimal conditions for growth and development of plants cultivated or wild. Importance, originality and timeliness of work is the need to protect the edaphic layer and environmental protection by: The accumulation of scientific data necessary to support technologies of consevative tillages and sustainable management of soil and water resources, Implementation of conservative tillages and sustainable management of physical, geographical and edaphic conditions from Banat Plain.

Key words: biovariety, cooperation, sustenability, monitoring.

## INTRODUCTION

Being a well-defined condition with a high variability in space and time the atmospheric, relief and edaphic factors, by their major components, are essential in characterizing certain areas of the land surface (Man, 2001).

Of course, knowledge of natural conditions and regional peculiarities of the ecological potential of land for various utilities, and some cultures have a significant economic and social importance for both large farm and small producer (Borza et al., 2001).

In this context, major directions set by the Romanian school of pedology (Dumitru şi colab. 2000, Florea 1979, 1991, 1994,1994, Munteanu şi colab. 1994, 1998, Teaci 1980,1995, Tărău şi colab. 2002) on providing a coherent framework for research with appropriate land requirements of sustainable agriculture and environmental protection will have to resolve in order to connect to the European system, in full accordance and harmony, the following specific functions of land: ecological, economic, technical, social and legal.

The research conducted are on the line of sustainable agricultural system, the main objective being to determine the quantitative and qualitative changes occurring in the agricultural ecosystem, in application to wheat and corn.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research of the ecopedologic conditions was made according to "The methodology of elaborating of pedological studies", vol. I, II and III elaborated by the ICPA Bucharest in 1987, completed with specific elements from the Romanian System of Taxonomy of Soils (SRSTS-2012).

The main objective of research is establishing relations between pedological conditions and productivity of agricultural land in Plain Banat, Bega- Mures interfluve - evolving 3 years (2011-2014) with application to wheat and corn in two locations: Sanandrei and Jimbolia, on the land of OSPA Timisoara.

Soil testing and other laboratory determinations were performed in Office of Pedological and Agrochemical Studies Timisoara, the Soil and Agrochemical Research Institute Bucharest and the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Banat, where used rules and national standards, approved by the Standardization Association in Romania (ASRO).

The biological material used was for wheat the Alex variety and for corn the hybrid PR 39 D 81.

To achieve the objectives, the research were oriented toward the observations and measurements made in the experimental field and in the validation of these observations by laboratory analysis.

Specific experimental technique works were executed in relation to their specialization, means using specific experimental technique.

Among recent material (prepared according to MESP-1987 and SRTS-2003) in Office of Pedological and Agrochemical Studies Timisoara archives and USAMVB Timisoara we can mention: Scientific report on research results on "Testing the action of herbicides in weed control in wheat, sunflower and soya" and on "Testing the effectiveness of foliar complex fertilizers for wheat and corn," Aradul Nou, 2002 (Prodagro West Arad), Authors: N Sânea, D Ṭărău; Research regarding the effect of some organo – mineral fertilizers (Soil Service of Belgium), authors: D Ṭărău, D. Dologa, M Florea, 2003; No-till technology influence on the evolution of diseases and pests of wheat and corn, Andru Monica, Ph.D. Thesis, USAMVB Timisoara, 2004; Results of research on the evolution of quality and productivity of agroecosystems from Vinga high plains into no-tillage, Ed. EUROBIT Timisoara 2007, Grant CNCSIS; The evolution of soil fertility and agricultural productivity in conservative and conventional tillage, Dicu D, Ph.D. Thesis, USAMVB Timisoara, 2010.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

By the geographic location, territory considered, presents a variety of environmental conditions, due to the high variability of all factors which contribute to achieving of environment where plants grow and crops yield.

The relief is characterized by great complexity of morphological forms, from meadows and ancient deltas (with numerous relics courses and altitudes of about 86 m), the plains (overlapping large scattering cones placed in a subsidence area altitudes of 80-100 m), and piedmont plains (with alluvial deposits-proluvial or wind), the geological structure and specific developments related to the genesis of time and space of the western part of the country (Coste et al., 1997).

Following his settlement, the natural conditions (relief, lithology, hydrology, vegetation) favored the formation and evolution of the main soil types that reflect the

morphological characteristics and their geo-bio-chemical main landscape features defining and determining the growth and fruition of the main crop.

The area in which research was carried out is part of the Jimbolia -Carpinis-Biled Plain, located between courses Galaţca channel and Beregsău river, and represents the most fertile soils of the considered area. It is formed mostly in loess deposits in relatively thin layers (2 to 3-4m), superimposed on coarse deposits by fluvial origin.

This is the oldest and most complex and is located at south of Mures, west of the Lipova plateau and at north of Bega-Timis subsidence area, at west-Aranca Giucoșin subsidence area.

The geological past of the area is related to that of Banato-Crisana Plain, which actually is part, it represents one of the eastern portions of the great sedimentary basin called the Pannonian Basin. It sank on the alignment of the old north-south faults, more to the west and less towards the Carpathians, from Badenian, with a maximum during the Pannonian, then became slower

This fragmentation has created areas of least resistance, and balance as faulted blocks, amplified by a series of tectonic events have determined advancements of marine (Thetys) or lake (Pannonian) domains.

The climate is temperate continental with oceanic and sub-mediteranean influence and tend to manifest the phenomenon of aridity. To characterize the climate condition will process and interpret data recorded at weather station Sanandrei and Clari-Vii The yearly average temperature is 10.9 ° C and precipitation of 629.9 mm at Sanandrei and 516.5 mm at Clarii Vii.

To characterize the soil were opened soil profiles of which is periodically harvested a series of samples necessary to determinations, in natural or modified settlement, after the existing standards.

At Jimbolia, the experiences are located on a typical chernozem, weak gleyed, medium clay / medium clay, dominates in the Jimbolia - Bulgăruş plain and representative for a large area of Banat low plain, as part of Mures Plain located at south of the current course of the Mures (Table 1).

The physical and chemical properties of the typical chernozem from Jimbolia

Table 1

Analitical indices				Dept	h (cm)			
	0-20	-35	-55	-75	-100	-120	-160	-200
Coarse sand (2.0 – 0.2 mm)	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.1
Fine sand $(0.2 - 0.02)$	41.3	41.2	45.1	42.7	43.1	44.9	46.3	46.0
Silt (I + II) ( 0.02-0.002 mm)	25.1	25.1	24.9	24.5	24.0	22.8	23.3	23.7
Coloidal clay ( sub 0.002)	33.3	33.5	33.5	32.7	32.8	32.2	30.1	29.2
Phisical clay (praf II +arg col)	45.7	45.6	44.8	43.4	43.6	41.9	39.5	39.0
TEXTURE	TT	TT	TT	TT				
Specific Density (Ds)	2.56	2.56	2.58	2.59				
Aparent density (Da)	1.15	1.42	1.38	1.20				
Total phorosity (PT)	55.10	44.60	46.60	53.70				
Aeration phorosity (Pa)	28.42	11.51	14.38	25.38				
Higroscopical coefficient(CH)	-9.2	1.16	7.6	-6.6				
Fadind coefficient (CO)	7.81	7.85	7.85	7.66				
Field capacity (CC)	11.71	11.78	11.78	11.50				
Total capacity (CT)	23.20	23.30	23.35	23.60				
Utile water capacity (CU)	11.49	11.52	11.57	12.10				
pH in water	7.78	7.99	8.18	8.26	8.54	8.68	8.84	8.84
Carbonates (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	9.05	9.69	18.1	23.1	23.3	25.0	20.9	16.2
Humus	4.25	2.57	1.80					

Humus reserve t/ha	97.75	54.74	37.26	189.75		
Mobile phosphorous (ppm)	44.5	21.9	8.3			
Mobile potasium (ppm)	191	88	50			

At Sanandrei the experiences are placed on a haplic luvisol, medium clay loamy / medium clay loamy predominates in the Vinga Plain and representative of a significant surface in Banato-Crisana plain (Table 2).

The physical and chemical properties of the haplic luvisol from Sanandrei

Table 2

Analitical indices		0.7         0.5         0.7         0.7         1.3         1.2           36.9         34.9         36.0         37.3         34.7         34.2           25.2         25.2         25.6         25.0         23.9         21.2           34.5         39.4         37.7         37.0         40.1         43.4           47.5         50.7         49.8         49.5         52.3         51.3           TT         TT         TT         TT         TT         TT           2.57         2.59         2.59         2.60						
	0-17	17-30	30-47	47-65	65-90	90-120	120-150	150-200
Coarse sand (2.0 – 0.2 mm)	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	1.1
Fine sand $(0.2 - 0.02)$	36.9	36.9	34.9	36.0	37.3	34.7	34.2	35.1
Silt (I + II) ( 0.02-0.002 mm)	27.9	25.2	25.2	25.6	25.0	23.9	21.2	21.7
Coloidal clay ( sub 0.002)	34.6	34.5	39.4	37.7	37.0	40.1	43.4	42.1
Phisical clay (praf II +arg col)	46.5	47.5	50.7	49.8	49.5	52.3	51.3	52.0
TEXTURE	TT	TT	TT	TT	TT	TT	TT	TT
Specific Density (Ds)	2.56	2.57	2.59	2.59	2.60			
Aparent density (Da)	1.45	1.64	1.61	1.59	1.66			
Total phorosity (PT)	43.36	36.19	37.84	38.61	36.15			
Aeration phorosity (Pa)	9.47	-2.12	-0.27	1.15	-2.89			
Higroscopical coefficient(CH)	14.38	28.51	26.51	24.52	29.16			
Fadind coefficient (CO)	8.11	8.09	8.23	8.83	8.67			
Field capacity (CC)	12.16	12.13	13.84	13.25	13.00			
Total capacity (CT)	23.37	23.36	23.67	23.56	23.52			
Utile water capacity (CU)	11.21	11.23	9.83	10.31	10.52			
pH in water	5.46	5.87	6.15	6.77	6.95	8.13	8.22	8.16
Carbonates (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )						5.67	6.09	3.57
Humus	2.28	1.59	1.41	0.42				
Humus reserve t/ha	56.20	33.90	38.59	2.00	130.69			
Mobile phosphorous (ppm)	15.0	13.4	12.0	10.9				
Mobile potasium (ppm)	131.8	87.8	74.7	72.9				

Regarding the evolution of soil moisture, the observations (by soil sampling and laboratory determinations) in the two locations revealed a number of issues on how to fulfill the ecological functions of soil in ecosystems, namely those related to major hydro-physical features (determined by morphological, physical, chemical and mineralogical properties) integrated under the concept of ecopedological profile, defined as the soil horizons which provide food and water for biomass production.

Therefore, the actual effect of rainfall on crops is influenced by soil characteristics (texture, porosity, permeability, useful water capacity) and the landscape, features that may facilitate the accumulation, storage and disposal of water from precipitation.

Periodic measurements of soil moisture in the studied area is on line that concerns the field, in both nationally and globally tendencies, in the context of climate change from the last decades.

Based on measurements made, was noticed that the water reserve on range 0-125 cm compared with values of field capacity (CC mm) recorded values below the field capacity (Table 3) with one exception these values are exceeded by 44.00 mm (Table 4).

This is due largely brothers intake, since the experience of Jimbolia is located on a typical phreatic wet chernozem, with the underground water level between 3.1 and 5.0 m (about seasonal fluctuations 1.5 m) and only a little part due rainfall fell at the beginning of the cold period of the agricultural year.

The soil moisture for the range 0-10 cm, compared with values of field capacity (CC), can see that it is below the field capacity, with some exceptions +5.63 mm in 3.5.2012 (table 3) and 6.05 mm and 6.02 mm at the same time, in the 24.10.2011 (table 4).

Table 3
Water reserve from soil at Sanandrei (mm) compared with values of field capacity(CC)

Location	,, acc 10001	Water	CC	Difference		CC	Difference		CC	Difference
Location		reserve	(mm)	(mm)	reserve	(mm)	(mm)	reserve	(mm)	(mm)
		(mm)	(111111)	(IIIII)	(mm)	(11111)	(11111)	(mm)	(11111)	(IIIII)
			10 cm int	terval		25 cm in	terval		50 cm int	erval
Sânandrei	24.10.2011	32.18	35.55	-3.37	67.07	91.01	-23.94	129.96	183.26	-53.30
(Barley)	15.11.2011	19.19	35.55	-16.36	47.74	91.01	-43.27	101.74	183.26	-81.52
	21.12.2011	28.58	35.55	-6.97	60.36	91.01	-30.65	114.51	183.26	-68.75
	13.01.2012	31.89	35.55	-3.66	78.18	91.01	-12.83	144.93	183.26	-38.33
	05.03.2012	41.18	35.55	+5.63	92.71	91.01	+1.70	177.99	183.26	-5.27
	04.05.2012	31.52	35,55	-4.03	85.29	91,01	-5.72	160.70	183,26	-22.56
	06.06.2012	20.58	35.55	-14.97	51.70	91.01	-39.31	115.23	183.26	-68.03
	29.06.2012	9.51	35,55	-26.04	25.94	91,01	-65.07	71.02	183,26	-112.24
	23.07.2012	10.07	35.55	-25.48	30.40	91.01	-60.61	77.80	183.26	-105.46
	21.08.2012	15.69	35,55	-19.86	47.51	91,01	-43.50	103.65	183,26	-79.61
	18.09.2012	14.03	35.55	-21.52	40.87	91.01	-50.14	94.91	183.26	-88.35
Sânandrei	24.10.2011	34.15	35.55	-1.40	68.05	91.01	-22.96	130.87	183.26	-52.39
(plowing	15.11.2011	17.85	35.55	-17.70	44.92	91.01	-46.09	88.20	183.26	-95.06
field)	21.12.2011	32.04	35.55	-3.51	76.97	91.01	-14.04	148.00	183.26	-35.26
	13.01.2012	37.38	35.55	+1.83	88.72	91.01	-22.90	165.75	183.26	-17.51
	26.03.2012	31.61	35.55	-3.94	75.77	91.01	-15.24	-	-	-
disc work	26.03.2012	32.66	35.55	-2.90	78.92	91.01	-12.09	-	-	-
Maize	04.05.2012	33,74	35,55	-1,81	84,73	91,01	-6,28	165,77	183,26	-17,49
	06.06.2012	22,79	35,55	12,76	60.32	91,01	-30,69	138.10	183,26	-45,16
	29.06.2012	17.37	35,55	-18.18	46.46	91,01	-44.55	115.69	183,26	-67.57
	23.07.2012	11.25	35,55	-24.30	28.82	91,01	-62.19	70.75	183,26	-112.51
	21.08.2012	15.48	35,55	-20.07	37.13	91,01	-53.88	91.69	183,26	-91.57
	18.09.2012	13.07	35,55	-22.48	32.84	91,01	-58.17	70.57	183.26	112.69
GA 1 :	24 10 2011		00 cm in			125 cm ir			100 cm ii	
Sânandrei	24.10.2011	265.8	365.66	-99.86	337.96	456.86		135.84	183.45	-47.61
(Barley)	15.11.2011	231.98 227.55	365.66	-133.68	293.54	456.86		130.24	183.45	-53.21
	21.12.2011 13.01.2012	275.25	365.66 365.66	-138.11 -90.41	287.27 410.34	456.86 456.86		113.04 154.35	183.45 183.45	-70.41 -29.1
	05.03.2012	348.31	365.66	-17.35	424.39	456.86	-32.47	170.32	183.45	-13.13
	04.05.2012	322.62	365.66	-43.04	402.90	456.86	-53.96	161.92	183.45	-21.53
	06.06.2012	259.11	365.66	-106.55	342.95	456.86	-113.91	143.88	183.45	-39.57
	29.06.2012	241.34	365.66	-124,32	324.50	456.86	-132.36	170.32	183.45	-13.13
	23.07.2012	177.28	365.66	-188,38	227.92	456.86	-228.94	99.48	183.45	-83.97
	21.08.2012	228.37	365.66	-137,29	292.77	456.86	-164.09	124.72	183.45	-58.73
	18.09.2012	196.11	365.66	-169,55	258.11	456.86	-198.75	101.20	183.45	-82.25
Sânandrei	24.10.2011	215.67	365.66	-149.99	274.31	456.86	-182.55	121.10	182.4	-61.3
(plowing	15.11.2011	223.24	365.66	-142.42	289.6	456.86	-167.26	135.04	182.4	-47.36
field)	21.12.2011	291.56	365.66	-74.1	363	456.86	-93.86	143.56	182.4	-38.84
	13.01.2012	323.98	365.66	-41.68	410.34	456.86	-46.52	158.24	182.4	-24.16
Maize	04.05.2012	328.33	365.66	-37,33	407.17	456.86	-49,69	162.56	182.4	-19,84
	06.06.2012	313.22	365.66	-52,44	396.21	456.86	-60,68	175.12	182.4	-7,28

29.06.2012	333.01	365.66	-32.65	424.37	456.86	-32.49	217.32	182.4
23.07.2012	159.03	365.66	-206.93	205.15	456.86	-251.71	188.28	182.4
21.08.2012	210.49	365.66	-155.17	285.49	456.86	-171.37	118.80	182.4
18.09.2012	184.29	365.66	-181.37	252.77	456.86	-204.09	113.72	182.4

Table 4

Water reserve from soil at Jimbolia (mm) compared with values of field capacity (CC Location Water Water CC Difference Difference CC Difference Water reserve (mm) (mm) reserve (mm) (mm) reserve (mm) (mm) (mm) (mm) 0-10 cm interval 0-25 cm interval 0-50 cm interval 130.95 Jimbolia 24.10.2011 26.68 +6.0270.61 76.10 156.49 23.11.2011 (Wheat) 20.30 26.68 59.90 76.10 -16.20 156.49 -30.56 125.93 -6.3822.12.2011 24.07 26.68 69.99 76.10 146.68 156.49 -9.81 -2.61 -6.11 12.01.2012 26.68 +6.0580.44 76.10 +4.34160.31 156.49 +3.8205.03.2012 26.09 26.68 -0.60 73.42 76.10 -2.68 165.36 +8.87 26.03.2012 19 90 26.68 -6.78 56 20 76 10 -19 90 04.05.2012 26.75 26,68 +0.07 72.48 76,10 -3.62 142.10 156,49 -14.39 76,10 08.06.2012 17.76 26.68 -8.92 48.28 -27.82 102.79 156.49 -53.70 16.07.2012 11.79 26.68 -14.89 31.75 76.10 -44.35 75.36 156,49 -81,13 21.08.2012 16.79 26.68 -9.89 47.59 76.10 -28.51 58.03 156.49 -98.46 18.09.2012 11.51 26,68 -15.17 33.79 76,10 -42.31 73.50 156,49 -82,99 Jimbolia 04.05.2012 23.39 26.68 -3.29 68.61 76.10 -7.49 142.10 156.49 -14.39 Maize 08.06.2012 20.10 26.68 -6.58 57.14 76.10 -18.96 134.59 156.49 -21.90 16.07.2012 26.68 -14.74 27.87 76.10 -48.23 -79.49 11.94 77.00 156.49 21.08.2012 11.29 26.68 -15.39 26.14 76.10 -49.96 66.06 156.49 -90.43 18.09.2012 76.10 60.95 11.32 26.68 -15.36 29.55 -46.55 156.49 -95.54 0-100 cm interval 0-125 cm interval 50-100 cm interval Jimbolia 24.10.2011 264.71 310.84 -46.13 133.76 346.61 398.22 -51.61154.36 -20.6(Wheat) -63.94 23 11 2011 252.19 310.84 -58.65 334 28 398.2 154 36 -28.1 126 26 22.12.2011 302.55 310.84 -8.29 394.73 398.22 -3.49 155.87 154.36 +1.5112.01.2012 398.22 302.17 310.84 -8.67 386.28 -11.94 150.29 154.36 -4.07 05.03.2012 344.16 310.84 +33.32442.22 398.22 +44.00178.8 154.36 +24.4404.05.2012 274.17 310.84 -36.67 342.61 398.22 -55.61 132.07 154.36 -22.29 229.01 398.22 08.06.2012 310.84 -81.83 307.05 -91.17 126.22 154.36 -28.14 16.07.2012 162.77 227.91 87.41 310.84 -148.07 398.22 -170.31 154.36 -66.95 21.08.2012 208.27 310.84 -102.57278.55 398.2 -119.67 102.65 154.36 -51.71 -132.21 18.09.2012 178.63 310.84 245.83 398.2 -152.39 105.13 154.36 -49.23Maize -30.74 04.05.2012 351.96 398.2 -46.27 -16.35 280.11 310.85 154.36 138.01 08.06.2012 292.42 310.85 -18.43 384.86 398.23 -13.37 157.83 154.36 +3.47 223.27 398.23 -174.96 93.09 16 07 2012 170.09 310.84 -140 76 154 36 -61 27 21.08.2012 139.73 310.85 -171.12 181.17 398.23 -217.06 73.67 154.36 -80.69

### **CONCLUSIONS**

142.56

310.85

-168.29

18.09.2012

Knowledge in terms of quantity and quality of the land, within a geographic area with different agricultural use, especially the development of components of their productivity, lies at the core of human existence.

191.09

398.23

-207.14

72.75

154.36

-81.61

In land resources domain, the natural phenomena and the entire complex of socioeconomic activities are in a continues changing: the properties are always changing, the land characteristics keeps changing, so it need for ongoing maintenance and technical reambulating and accurate records in CF. Long-awaited accession, especially integration, at the European Community requests from institutions, organizations or businesses and from each Romanian citizen efforts to reform, harmonization and compatibility of economic and social activities in the generally accepted by an open society to the free movement of ideas, values and goods.

The research have the main objective to establishing relations between ecopedological conditions, financing and productivity of agricultural land in Banat Plain, Mures-Bega interfluve, in application to wheat and corn in two locations: Sanandrei and Jimbolia, on OSPA Timisoara land and in representative systems of the agricultural area of Banat, namely: SCDA – Lovrin, SC COMAGRA SRL Beregsăul Mare, SC TEHNOLAND SRL Jimbolia.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1.Borza I., Țărău D., Țărău Irina (2001) Soils degradation process and restoring measures in southwest Romania, Ed. Oriz. Univ. Timișoara, pag. 195.
- 2. Coste I., Țarau D., Rogobete Gh, (1997) Tendințe ale evoluției mediului înconjurător în Sud-Vestul României, Lcr. Șt. Simp. Național de Pedologie Timișoara, pag. 7-25.
- 3. DUMITRU M. SI COLAB. (2000) Monitoringul stării de calitate a solurilor din România. Ed. GNP București, pag. 23-90.
- 4.FLOREA N., BALACEANU V., CANARACHE A, (1987) Metodologia elaborării studiilor pedologice. vol. I, II, III, ICPA București, pag. 10-15 (I). 30-71 (II). 29-100 (III).
- 5.FLOREA N., MUNTEANU I, (2003) Sistemul Român de Taxonomie a Solurilor, Ed. Estfalia, București, pag. 35-54.
- 6.Man T.E, (2001) Aspecte actuale ale dezvoltării rurale în România; UPT. Zilele Academice Timișene, Ed a VII-a. 25 mai 2001, Ed. Politehnică
- 7. TEACI D, (1978) Bonitarea terenurilor agricole, Ed. Ceres, București, pag. 15-200.
- 8. ȚARAU D., BORZA I. SI COLAB. (2002) Evaluarea condițiilor naturale și a celor induse antropic sub aspectul definirii capacității de producție a terenurilor din vestul României, Știința Solului nr. 2, vol. XXXVI, pag. 148-160.