# SOIL MAINTENANCE SYSTEMS INFLUENCE UPON YIELD AND **OUALITY IN CASE OF SOME VINE VARIETIES IN BUZIAS-SILAGIU** VITICULTURAL CENTER CONDITIONS

# INFLUENȚA SISTEMELOR DE ÎNTREȚINERE A SOLULUI ASUPRA PRODUCTIEI SI CALITĂTII LA CÂTEVA SOIURI DE VITĂ DE VIE ÎN CONDIȚIILE CENTRULUI VITICOL BUZIAȘ-SILAGIU

## ALIN DOBREI<sup>1</sup>, FLORIN SALA<sup>1</sup>, ELISABETA KOCIS<sup>1</sup>, IASMINA SAVESCU<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Timişoara-Romania.

viticultural center in 2006-2007 period and were focused on soil maintainance systems influence upon quantitative and qualitative yield in case of Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot noir and Muscat Ottonel varieties. Soil maintenance was made using classic method, permanent grassing, plants fertilizer as green cultivation and herbicidation. Observations and determination were made on varieties and plots concerning grapes maturation, obtained yield, sugar content, acidity and gluco-acidimetric index was calculated. In case of all varieties the highest yield was obtained in case of soil maintenance using plants cultivation as green fertilizer, meanwhile highest sugar content was obtained in case of the plots maintened as black field.

Abstract: Researches were made in Buzias-Silagiu Rezumat: Cercetările s-au desfășurat în Centrul viticol Buziaș-Silagiu în anii 2006-2007 și au vizat influenta sistemelor de întretinere a solului asupra producției cantitative și calitative la soiurile Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot noir și Muscat Ottonel.Întreținerea solului s-a făcut prin varianta clasică ogor negru, înierbare permanentă, cultivarea plantelor pentru îngrășământ verde și erbicidare.S-au făcut observații și determinări separat pe soiuri și variante în privința evoluției maturării strugurilor, producția obținută, conținutul în zahăr, aciditate și s-a calculat indicele gluco-acidimetric.La toate soiurile cea mai mare producție a fost obținută în cazul întreținerii solului prin cultivarea plantelor pentru îngrășământ verde, în timp ce conținutul cel mai ridicat în zahăr s-a obținut în cazul variantelor întreținute ca ogor negru

Key words: soil maintenance systems, variety of wine grapes, quality Cuvinte cheie: sisteme de întreținere, soiuri pentru vin, calitate

#### INTRODUCTION

In case of viticultural plantations soil maintenance requires year after year a great number of passings usind tractors and agricultural machines.

When soil humidity is to high or to low these passings with agricultural units may have negative influence upon soil phisical-chemical features.

So, permanent grassing, herbicidation and plants cultivation as green fertilizer are solutions which reasonably applied besides the fact that in their case fuel consumption, polution, maintenance costs are reduced, have also a favourable influence upon soil phisicochemical features.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Researches were made in the year 2006-2007 in a young vineyard which is on its first yields, located in Buzis-Silagiu viticultural center.

Planting distances are 2,2 m between rows and 1 m between vines /row and pruning type is Cordon Cazenave.

Varieties used in this experiment are: Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot noir and Muscat Ottonel. Experimental plots were represented by different ways of soil maintenance: permanent grassing, herbicidation, plants cultivation as green fertilizer. As control was taken "black field" soil maintenance system, which is still the most practiced in Romania's vineyards.

Observations were made on varieties and plots concerning the obtained yield, sugar content, acidity content in g/I  $H_2SO_4$  and gluco-acidimetric index was calculated.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The year 2006 was a year which provided not so favorable climacteric conditions for vine, with abundant rainfall in vine blossoming period and during grapes maturation. Having in mind these reasons, the obtained yields were under varieties and culture area's potential. In case of all studied varieties, the highest yields were obtained when soil maintenance system in the plot was the one of plants cultivated as green fertilizer (winter fodder) which were reaped and incorporated in soil.

Registered differences to the control were of 595 kg/ha in case of Cabernet Sauvignon variety, of 755 kg/ha in case of Pinot noir variety and of 690 kg/ha in of Muscat Ottonel variety, differences having statistical covering.

The only plot which registered values inferior to the control was soil maintenance through permanent grassing, but registered differences weren't statistical covered (Table 1).

In the year 2007 (Table 2), climatic conditions were more favorable for vine necessities (reduced rainfall and abundant sun shining hours), so the obtained yields were superior to the last year ones. This year also, the highest yields were obtained in case of soil maintenance system using permanent grassing, the obtained differences to the control being smaller given the previous year.

The average results obtained on research cycle (Table 3) showed that the highest yields were obtained when green fertilizers were used, the outputs given the control being of 497 kg/ha in case of Cabernet Sauvignon variety, 657 kg/ha in case of Pinot noir variety and 624 kg/ha in case of Muscat Ottonel variety; also they were statistical covered.

Permanent grassing lead to the obtaining of some slightly inferior productions given the control and that is way permanent grassing is still studied before a conclusion to be made.

Regarding soil maintenance system influence upon yield quality, differences to the control were less obvious, still we can see that in case of both research years all the plots registered inferior values given the control (Tables 4, 5 and 6).

Average speaking, the lowest sugar content was registered when green fertilizers were used, differences to the black field vary between -7.5 and -9 g/l sugar. Yield quality was very good in case of all studied varieties, sugar content was high, and acidity maintained its equilibrium, all that allowing to the studied varieties to join superior varieties category.

The obtained yield in the year 2006 given soil maintenance systems

	The obtained yield in the year 2006 given soil maintenance systems												
	Soil maintenance systems				Differe	nce to the co	ntrol		Significance				
Black		Green	Permanent	Average									
field ontrol)	Herbicidation	fertilizers	grassing		Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing	Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing			
5930	7140	7525	6786	7095,25	+210	+595	-144	-	*	-			
5175	6635	6930	5915	6413,75	+460	+755	-260	*	**	-			
7425	7920	8115	7205	7666,25	+495	+690	-220	*	**	-			

Table 1

Caberne

Sauvignor Pinot noir Muscat Ottonel 69

Table 4

The obtained yield in the year 2007 given soil maintenance systems

	The obtained field in the fear 2007 given son maintenance systems										
		Soil maintenar	nce systems	3		Differe	nce to the co	ntrol		Significance	
Variety Black field Herbicio		Herbicidation		Permanent	Average		o game ance				
	(Control)	Tier ok kladon	fertilizers	grassing		Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing	Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing
Cabernet Sauvignon	8120	8215	8520	7925	8195	+95	+400	-195	-	*	-
Pinot noir	7110	7305	7530	6970	7228,75	+195	+420	-140	-	*	-
Muscat Ottonel	8730	8715	9010	8452	8726,75	-15	+280	-278	=	=	=

Table 3

	The obtained yield given soil maintenance systems – average of the years 2006-2007											
		Soil maintenan	ce systems			Difference to the control Significance						
Variety	Black field	Herbicidation	Green	Permanent	Average	Director	nee to the co	illioi		Significance		
	(Control)	ricibicidation	fertilizers	grassing		Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing	Herbicidation	Green fertilizers	Permanent grassing	
Cabernet Sauvignon	7525	7677,5	8022,5	7355,5	7645,125	+152,5	+497,5	-169,5	=	*	=	
Pinot noir	6642,5	6970	7230	6442,5	6821,25	+397,5	+657,5	-200	*	**	-	
Muscat Ottonel	8077,5	8317,5	8562,5	7828,5	8196,5	+379	+624	-249	*	**	=-	
C 1 . C	-	DI 50/ 27		DI :	10/ 5/11/2		D.	0.10/ 0.47		•		

 Cabernet Sauvignon
 DL 5% = 276,1
 DL 1% = 541,2
 DL 0,1% =947,1

 Pinot noir
 DL 5% = 269,1
 DL 1% = 536,1
 DL 0,1% =874,1

 Muscat Ottonel
 DL 5% =296,2
 DL 1% = 599,2
 DL 0,1% = 1094,3

Yield quality given soil maintenance systems in the year 2006

rieid quanty given son manitenance systems in the year 2000									
Soil maintenance systems	Variety	Sugar (g/l)	Acidity (g/l H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	Glucoacidimetri index	Difference to the control ( sugar g/l)	Significance			
Black field	Cabernet Sauvignon	188	4,7	40	-	-			
(Control)	Pinot noir	192	4,8	40	-	-			
(Control)	Muscat Ottonel	186	3,7	50,27	-	-			
Herbicidation	Cabernet Sauvignon	183	4,8	38,125	-5	-			
	Pinot noir	188	4,9	38,36	-4	9			
	Muscat Ottonel	183	3,8	48,15	-3	-			
Green	Cabernet Sauvignon	180	4,9	36,73	-8	0			
fertilizers	Pinot noir	185	4,8	38,54	-7	0			
	Muscat Ottonel	178	3,9	45,64	-8	0			
Permanent	Cabernet Sauvignon	182	4,8	37,91	-6	0			
grassing	Pinot noir	187	4,8	38,95	-5	=			
grassing	Muscat Ottonel	180	3,8	47,36	-6	0			

Cabernet Sauvignon DL 5% = 5,31 DL 1% = 8,16 DL 0,1% = 13,97 Pinot noir DL 5% = 5,79 DL 1% = 8,75 DL 0,1% = 15,2 Muscat Ottonel DL 5% = 4,71 DL 1% = 7,02 DL 0,1% = 12,17

Table 5
Yield quality given soil maintenance systems in the year 2007

ried quanty given son maintenance systems in the year 2007										
Soil maintenance systems	Variety	Sugar (g/l)	Acidity (g/l H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	Glucoacidimetri index	Difference to the control ( sugar g/l)	Significance				
Black field (Control)	Cabernet Sauvignon	197	4,3	45,81	-	-				
	Pinot noir	205	4,1	50	-	-				
	Muscat Ottonel	195	3,3	59,09	-	-				
Herbicidation	Cabernet Sauvignon	192	4,6	41,73	-5	-				
	Pinot noir	203	4,2	48,33	-2	-				
	Muscat Ottonel	191	3,5	54,57	-4	-				
Green fertilizers	Cabernet Sauvignon	190	4,7	40,42	-7	0				
	Pinot noir	194	4,6	42,17	-11	0				
	Muscat Ottonel	188	3,6	52,22	-7	0				
Permanent	Cabernet Sauvignon	191	4,6	41,52	-6	-				
	Pinot noir	196	4,7	41,70	-9	0				
grassing	Muscat Ottonel	190	3,5	54,28	-5	=				

Cabernet Sauvignon DL 5% = 6,12 DL 1% =9,78 DL 0,1% =17,2
Pinot noir DL 5% = 7,89 DL 1% =11,6 DL 0,1% =19,2
Muscat Ottonel DL 5% =5,91 DL 1% = 8,93 DL 0,1% = 14,41

Table 6

Yield quality given soil maintenance systems in the year - 2006-2007 average				
	Viold quality of	ivan cail maintanan	a exetame in the year	· 2006 2007 average

Cabernet Sauvignon			index	control ( sugar g/l)	Significance
	192,5	4,5	42,77	-	-
Pinot noir	198,5	4,45	44,60	-	-
Muscat Ottonel	190,5	3,5	54,42	=	=
Cabernet Sauvignon	187,5	4,7	39,89	-5	=
Pinot noir	195,5	4,55	42,96	-3	=
Muscat Ottonel	187	3,65	51,23	-3,5	-
Cabernet Sauvignon	185	4,8	38,54	-7,5	0
Pinot noir	189,5	4,7	40,31	-9	0
Muscat Ottonel	183	3,75	48,80	-7,5	0
Cabernet Sauvignon	186,5	4,7	39,68	-6	0
Pinot noir	191,5	4,75	40,31	-7	0
Muscat Ottonel	185	3,65	50,68	-5,5	=
	Pinot noir  Muscat Ottonel  Cabernet Sauvignon  Pinot noir  Muscat Ottonel  Cabernet Sauvignon  Pinot noir  Muscat Ottonel  Cabernet Sauvignon  Pinot noir	Pinot noir 198,5  Muscat Ottonel 190,5  Cabernet Sauvignon 187,5  Pinot noir 195,5  Muscat Ottonel 187  Cabernet Sauvignon 185  Pinot noir 189,5  Muscat Ottonel 183  Cabernet Sauvignon 186,5  Pinot noir 191,5	Pinot noir 198,5 4,45  Muscat Ottonel 190,5 3,5  Cabernet Sauvignon 187,5 4,7  Pinot noir 195,5 4,55  Muscat Ottonel 187 3,65  Cabernet Sauvignon 188 4,8  Pinot noir 189,5 4,7  Muscat Ottonel 183 3,75  Cabernet Sauvignon 186,5 4,7  Pinot noir 191,5 4,75	Pinot noir         198,5         4,45         44,60           Muscat Ottonel         190,5         3,5         54,42           Cabernet Sauvignon         187,5         4,7         39,89           Pinot noir         195,5         4,55         42,96           Muscat Ottonel         187         3,65         51,23           Cabernet Sauvignon         185         4,8         38,54           Pinot noir         189,5         4,7         40,31           Muscat Ottonel         183         3,75         48,80           Cabernet Sauvignon         186,5         4,7         39,68           Pinot noir         191,5         4,75         40,31	Pinot noir         198,5         4,45         44,60         -           Muscat Ottonel         190,5         3,5         54,42         -           Cabernet Sauvignon         187,5         4,7         39,89         -5           Pinot noir         195,5         4,55         42,96         -3           Muscat Ottonel         187         3,65         51,23         -3,5           Cabernet Sauvignon         185         4,8         38,54         -7,5           Pinot noir         189,5         4,7         40,31         -9           Muscat Ottonel         183         3,75         48,80         -7,5           Cabernet Sauvignon         186,5         4,7         39,68         -6           Pinot noir         191,5         4,75         40,31         -7

Cabernet Sauvignon DL 5% = 5.69 DL 5% =6,75 Pinot noir Muscat Ottonel DL 5% =5,57 DL 1% =8,75 DL 1% =9,73 DL 1% =8,01

DL 0,1% =15,4 DL 0,1% =16,97 DL 0,1% = 13,03

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Soil maintenance in viticultural plantations represents a very complex problem due to the large number of works necessary but also to variable climate conditions, which made almost impossible soil working in the period and to the most propitious moment.

Increased fuel cost and phisico-chemical features of the viticultural soils imposed the fact that some alternatives must to be found given the classic soil maintenance system method.

In Buzias-silagiu viticultural center conditions and given the conditions of the two researched years, which had their own profile, we may assert that the best results were obtained when plants were used as green fertilizers.

### **LITERATURE**

DOBREI A., ROTARU LILIANA, MORELLI S., "Ampelografie", Ed. Solness, Timisoara, 2008 DOBREI A., "The behaviour of some new German clones of Cabernet Sauvignon variety under Buzias-Silagiu viticulture centre pedoclimateric conditions", Buletinul U.S.A.M.V.Cluj-Napoca, Horticultura, vol. 64, 2007, pag. 138

DOBREI A., SI COL., "Researches concerning growth and wood maturing of some grape wine varieties cultivated in Buzias -Silagiu viticultural center after a couple of years from planting", Cercetari Stiintifice, seria aXI-a, U.S.A.M.V.B. Timisoara, Ed. Agroprint, 2007, pag. 248-251

OSLOBEANU M., SI COL., "Zonarea soiurilor de vita de vie in Romania", Ed. Ceres, Bucuresti, 1991